

SESSION 5

PROPORTIONAL ODDS MODELLING PRACTICAL

THE POST-OPERATIVE PAIN STUDY (POPS)

A Simulated Clinical Trial

1. Post-Operative Pain

Patients undergoing major surgery often experience pain for up to a week afterwards, and occasionally for longer. Some patients report only slight pain, but others refer to it as severe. The incidence and intensity of pain is related to the type of surgery and to the age and sex of the patient. It is standard practice to prescribe pain-killing drugs to control this post-operative pain.

2. Painendil

The successful new company Edinburgh Pharmaceutical have developed a new pain-killing drug named "Painendil". Its makers believe that it will be more effective than its competitors in the control of post-operative pain. It is administered intra-venously at 8-hour intervals.

3. The Clinical Trial

Edinburgh Pharmaceuticals have conducted a two-centre clinical trial of Painendil. Patients presenting for abdominal, cardiovascular or brain surgery were allocated to Painendil or to a control group. The centres were London and Aberdeen.

The principal endpoint of interest was the patient's assessment of pain 24 hours after regaining consciousness after the operation. The patient was asked to rate the pain as NONE, SLIGHT, MODERATE or SEVERE. The question was asked by a nurse with no knowledge of the identity of the pain-killing treatment.

About 25 eligible patients were recruited each month in London and 5 in Aberdeen. Patients were recruited for 8 months.

The data are recorded in the file POPAIN.

Description of POPAIN

PATNO	=	Patient Identification Number
AGE	=	Age of Patient
AGEG	=	Age of Patient (Grouped) 1: (20-29) 2: (30-39) 3: (40-49) 4: (50-59)
SEX	=	Sex of Patient 1: Male 2: Female
CEN	=	Centre of Study 1: London 2: Aberdeen
SURG	=	Type of Surgery 1: Abdominal 2: Cardiovascular 3: Brain
DRUG	=	Drug 1: Control 2: Painendil
PAIN	=	Pain Score at 24 hours 1: None 2: Slight 3: Moderate 4: Severe

Using either the SAS or R output appended answer the following questions

1) **Testing for Treatment effect**

Model 1 fits a proportional odds model involving only the factor DRUG.

- (a) Looking at the likelihood ratio test, is the drug effect statistically significant?
- (b) Using the parameter estimate calculate the odds ratio (Painendil : Control). Does your value imply an advantage or disadvantage for the Painendil group?
- (c) Calculate a 95% confidence interval for this odds ratio.

Check your results against the output.

2) **Studying a combination of terms**

- (a) Model 2 fits AGE as a covariate. Is it a statistically significant predictor?
- (b) Model 3 builds on model 2 and adds SEX to the model. Using -2LogLs calculate the influence of SEX on the pain score adjusted for age.
- (c) Model 4 fits AGE , SEX and DRUG. What is the odds ratio for a male patient relative to a female patient?
- (d) Using the parameter estimates from Model 4, calculate the odds ratio for a male patient aged 42 receiving Painendil to a male patient aged 58 receiving Control. Write down your conclusion.

If time

3) **Fitted probabilities**

- (a) Using the parameter estimates from Model 5 calculate the fitted probabilities for each age group and pain score.
- (b) Compare these fitted probabilities with the observed percentages in the cross-tabulation of AGE by PAIN shown below.

Table of ageg by pain					
ageg (Age of Patient - Grouped)	pain (Pain score at 24 hrs)				
Frequency (Row %)	None	Slight	Moderate	Severe	Total
20 – 29	25 50.00	15 30.00	7 14.00	3 6.00	50
30 – 39	21 25.30	25 30.12	31 37.35	6 7.23	83
40 – 49	11 14.67	13 17.33	29 38.67	22 29.33	75
50 – 59	2 6.25	4 12.50	6 18.75	20 62.50	32
Total	59	57	73	51	240

Appendix 1 - SAS Output

Model 1: Testing for drug effect

```
proc logistic data=popain;  
  class drug (ref='Control') / param=ref order=internal;  
  model pain (order=internal) = drug;  
  title 'Model 1: Testing for drug effect';  
run;
```

Note, the **class** statement has the option (**order = internal**) for DRUG so that DRUG will be ordered by its actual numerical values as opposed to its formatted labels, e.g. 1: Control, 2: Painendil. The options **param=ref** and **ref='Control'** mean that the parameter estimate for DRUG=Painendil is the log-odds ratio for Painendil relative to Control and this can be read off directly.

Model 1: Testing for drug effect

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.POPAIN	
Response Variable	pain	Pain score at 24 hrs
Number of Response Levels	4	
Model	cumulative logit	
Optimization Technique	Fisher's scoring	

Number of Observations Read	240
Number of Observations Used	240

Response Profile

Ordered Value	pain	Total Frequency
1	None	59
2	Slight	57
3	Moderate	73
4	Severe	51

Probabilities modeled are cumulated over the lower Ordered Values.

Class Level Information

Class	Value	Design Variables
drug	Control	0
	Painendil	1

Model Convergence Status

Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model 1: Testing for drug effect

Score Test for the Proportional Odds Assumption

Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
1.9302	2	0.3809

Model Fit Statistics

Criterion	Intercept Only	Intercept and Covariates
AIC	667.196	661.416
SC	677.638	675.339
-2 Log L	661.196	653.416

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0

Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
Likelihood Ratio	7.7797	1	0.0053
Score	7.7253	1	0.0054
Wald	7.6733	1	0.0056

Type 3 Analysis of Effects

Effect	DF	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
drug	1	7.6733	0.0056

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept None	1	-1.4680	0.2000	53.8807	<.0001
Intercept Slight	1	-0.3906	0.1768	4.8813	0.0271
Intercept Moderate	1	1.0115	0.1894	28.5123	<.0001
drug Painendil	1	0.6501	0.2347	7.6733	0.0056

Odds Ratio Estimates

Effect	Point Estimate	95% Wald Confidence Limits	
drug Painendil vs Control	1.916	1.209	3.035

Model 2: Fit age

```
proc logistic data=popain;  
  model pain (order=internal) = age;  
  title 'Model 2: Fit age';  
run;
```

Model 2: Fit age

Number of Observations Read	240
Number of Observations Used	240

Response Profile

Ordered Value	pain	Total Frequency
1	None	59
2	Slight	57
3	Moderate	73
4	Severe	51

Probabilities modeled are cumulated over the lower Ordered Values.

Model Fit Statistics

Criterion	Intercept Only	Intercept and Covariates
AIC	667.196	591.449
SC	677.638	605.371
-2 Log L	661.196	583.449

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0

Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
Likelihood Ratio	77.7474	1	<.0001
Score	65.5030	1	<.0001
Wald	68.2727	1	<.0001

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept None	1	3.1126	0.5271	34.8715	<.0001
Intercept Slight	1	4.4183	0.5620	61.7995	<.0001
Intercept Moderate	1	6.1775	0.6299	96.1753	<.0001
age	1	-0.1170	0.0142	68.2727	<.0001

Model 3: Fit age and sex

```
proc logistic data=popain;
  class sex (ref='Female') / param=ref order=internal;
  model pain (order=internal) = age sex;
  title 'Model 3: Fit age and sex';
run;
```

Model 3: Fit age and sex

Number of Observations Read	240
Number of Observations Used	240

Response Profile

Ordered Value	pain	Total Frequency
1	None	59
2	Slight	57
3	Moderate	73
4	Severe	51

Probabilities modeled are cumulated over the lower Ordered Values.

Class Level Information

Class	Value	Design Variables
sex	Male	1
	Female	0

Model Fit Statistics

Criterion	Intercept Only	Intercept and Covariates
AIC	667.196	587.078
SC	677.638	604.482
-2 Log L	661.196	577.078

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0

Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
Likelihood Ratio	84.1177	2	<.0001
Score	69.8479	2	<.0001
Wald	72.8086	2	<.0001

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept None	1	3.5285	0.5579	40.0050	<.0001
Intercept Slight	1	4.8806	0.5968	66.8718	<.0001
Intercept Moderate	1	6.6374	0.6652	99.5521	<.0001
age	1	-0.1176	0.0142	68.3433	<.0001
sex Male	1	-0.6431	0.2536	6.4287	0.0112

Model 4: Fit age, sex and drug

```
proc logistic data=popain;  
  class sex (ref='Female')  
    drug (ref='Control') / param=ref order=internal;  
  model pain (order=internal) = age sex drug;  
  title 'Model 4: Fit age, sex and drug';  
run;
```

Model 4: Fit age, sex and drug

Number of Observations Read	240
Number of Observations Used	240

Response Profile

Ordered Value	pain	Total Frequency
1	None	59
2	Slight	57
3	Moderate	73
4	Severe	51

Probabilities modeled are cumulated over the lower Ordered Values.

Class Level Information

Class	Value	Design Variables
sex	Male	1
	Female	0
drug	Control	0
	Painendil	1

Score Test for the Proportional Odds Assumption

Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
21.0295	6	0.0018

Model Fit Statistics

Criterion	Intercept Only	Intercept and Covariates
AIC	667.196	579.940
SC	677.638	600.824
-2 Log L	661.196	567.940

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0

Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
Likelihood Ratio	93.2563	3	<.0001
Score	77.1117	3	<.0001
Wald	77.0909	3	<.0001

Model 4: Fit age, sex and drug

Type 3 Analysis of Effects

Effect	DF	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
age	1	69.0338	<.0001
sex	1	6.0508	0.0139
drug	1	8.9761	0.0027

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept None	1	3.2056	0.5671	31.9584	<.0001
Intercept Slight	1	4.5883	0.6036	57.7912	<.0001
Intercept Moderate	1	6.3763	0.6687	90.9256	<.0001
age	1	-0.1197	0.0144	69.0338	<.0001
sex Male	1	-0.6277	0.2552	6.0508	0.0139
drug Painendil	1	0.7347	0.2452	8.9761	0.0027

Odds Ratio Estimates

Effect	Point Estimate	95% Wald Confidence Limits	
age	0.887	0.863	0.913
sex Male vs Female	0.534	0.324	0.880
drug Painendil vs Control	2.085	1.289	3.371

Model 5: Fit only age as a factor

```
proc logistic data=popain;  
  class ageg (ref='50 - 59') / param=ref order=internal;  
  model pain (order=internal) = ageg;  
  title 'Model 5: Fit only age as a factor';  
run;
```

Model 5: Fit only age as a factor

Number of Observations Read	240
Number of Observations Used	240

Response Profile

Ordered Value	pain	Total Frequency
1	None	59
2	Slight	57
3	Moderate	73
4	Severe	51

Probabilities modeled are cumulated over the lower Ordered Values.

Class Level Information

Class	Value	Design Variables		
ageg	20 - 29	1	0	0
	30 - 39	0	1	0
	40 - 49	0	0	1
	50 - 59	0	0	0

Score Test for the Proportional Odds Assumption

Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
8.1957	6	0.2241

Model Fit Statistics

Criterion	Intercept Only	Intercept and Covariates
AIC	667.196	608.359
SC	677.638	629.243
-2 Log L	661.196	596.359

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0

Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
Likelihood Ratio	64.8370	3	<.0001
Score	55.4303	3	<.0001
Wald	59.3031	3	<.0001

Model 5: Fit only age as a factor

Type 3 Analysis of Effects

Effect	DF	Wald	
		Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
ageg	3	59.3031	<.0001

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept None	1	-3.3079	0.4052	66.6547	<.0001
Intercept Slight	1	-2.0611	0.3811	29.2546	<.0001
Intercept Moderate	1	-0.3638	0.3506	1.0764	0.2995
ageg 20 - 29	1	3.3368	0.4719	50.0037	<.0001
ageg 30 - 39	1	2.3385	0.4227	30.6135	<.0001
ageg 40 - 49	1	1.3069	0.4137	9.9777	0.0016

Appendix 2 - R Output

Model 1: Testing for drug effect

```
pom.fit1 <- lrm(PAIN ~ DRUG, data=popain)
print(pom.fit1)
cat('Deviance (-2 Log L)', "\n", pom.fit1$deviance, "\n", "\n", "\n")
anova(pom.fit1)
summary(pom.fit1, DRUG='Control')
```

Logistic Regression Model

```
lrm(formula = PAIN ~ DRUG, data = popain)
```

Frequencies of Responses

	Severe	Moderate	Slight	None				
	51	73	57	59				
					Model Likelihood	Discrimination	Rank Discrim.	
					Ratio Test	Indexes	Indexes	
Obs		240	LR chi2	7.78	R2	0.034	C	0.567
max deriv		3e-07	d.f.	1	g	0.326	Dxy	0.134
			Pr(> chi2)	0.0053	gr	1.386	gamma	0.265
					gp	0.081	tau-a	0.101
					Brier	0.246		

	Coef	S.E.	Wald Z	Pr(> Z)
y>=Moderate	1.0115	0.1896	5.33	<0.0001
y>=Slight	-0.3906	0.1754	-2.23	0.0259
y>=None	-1.4680	0.1983	-7.40	<0.0001
DRUG=Painendil	0.6501	0.2344	2.77	0.0056

```
Deviance (-2 Log L)
661.1961 653.4164
```

Wald Statistics Response: PAIN

Factor	Chi-Square	d.f.	P
DRUG	7.69	1	0.0056
TOTAL	7.69	1	0.0056

Effects Response : PAIN

Factor	Low	High	Diff.	Effect	S.E.	Lower	0.95	Upper	0.95
DRUG - Painendil:Control	1	2	NA	0.65	0.23	0.19		1.11	
Odds Ratio	1	2	NA	1.92	NA	1.21		3.03	

Model 2: Fit age

```
pom.fit2 <- lrm(PAIN ~ AGE, data=popain)
print(pom.fit2)
cat('Deviance (-2 Log L)', "\n", pom.fit2$deviance, "\n", "\n", "\n")
anova(pom.fit2)
```

Logistic Regression Model

```
lrm(formula = PAIN ~ AGE, data = popain)
```

Frequencies of Responses

Severe	Moderate	Slight	None
51	73	57	59

		Model Likelihood Ratio Test		Discrimination Indexes		Rank Discrim. Indexes	
Obs	240	LR chi2	77.75	R2	0.296	C	0.735
max deriv	3e-07	d.f.	1	g	1.329	Dxy	0.469
		Pr(> chi2)	<0.0001	gr	3.778	gamma	0.470
				gp	0.274	tau-a	0.351
				Brier	0.197		

	Coef	S.E.	Wald Z	Pr(> Z)
y>=Moderate	6.1776	0.6324	9.77	<0.0001
y>=Slight	4.4184	0.5628	7.85	<0.0001
y>=None	3.1127	0.5294	5.88	<0.0001
AGE	-0.1170	0.0142	-8.21	<0.0001

Deviance (-2 Log L)
661.1961 583.4487

	Wald Statistics			Response: PAIN
Factor	Chi-Square	d.f.	P	
AGE	67.46	1	<.0001	
TOTAL	67.46	1	<.0001	

Model 3: Fit age and sex

```
pom.fit3 <- lrm(PAIN ~ AGE + SEX, data=popain)
print(pom.fit3)
cat('Deviance (-2 Log L)', "\n", pom.fit3$deviance, "\n", "\n", "\n")
anova(pom.fit3)
```

Logistic Regression Model

```
lrm(formula = PAIN ~ AGE + SEX, data = popain)
```

Frequencies of Responses

Severe	Moderate	Slight	None
51	73	57	59

		Model Likelihood Ratio Test		Discrimination Indexes		Rank Discrim. Indexes	
Obs	240	LR chi2	84.12	R2	0.316	C	0.746
max deriv	3e-07	d.f.	2	g	1.398	Dxy	0.491
		Pr(> chi2)	<0.0001	gr	4.046	gamma	0.492
				gp	0.284	tau-a	0.368
				Brier	0.195		

	Coef	S.E.	Wald Z	Pr(> Z)
y>=Moderate	6.6376	0.6655	9.97	<0.0001
y>=Slight	4.8807	0.5991	8.15	<0.0001
y>=None	3.5286	0.5598	6.30	<0.0001
AGE	-0.1176	0.0144	-8.18	<0.0001
SEX=Male	-0.6429	0.2562	-2.51	0.0121

```
Deviance (-2 Log L)
661.1961 577.0785
```

	Wald Statistics			Response: PAIN
Factor	Chi-Square	d.f.	P	
AGE	66.99	1	<.0001	
SEX	6.30	1	0.0121	
TOTAL	71.62	2	<.0001	

Model 4: Fit age, sex and drug

```
pom.fit4 <- lrm(PAIN ~ AGE + SEX + DRUG, data=popain)
print(pom.fit4)
cat('Deviance (-2 Log L)', "\n", pom.fit4$deviance, "\n", "\n", "\n")
anova(pom.fit4)
summary(pom.fit4, AGE=c(40,40,41), SEX='Female', DRUG='Control')
```

Logistic Regression Model

```
lrm(formula = PAIN ~ AGE + SEX + DRUG, data = popain)
```

Frequencies of Responses

	Severe 51	Moderate 73	Slight 57	None 59				
					Model Likelihood Ratio Test	Discrimination Indexes	Rank Discrim. Indexes	
Obs	240				LR chi2	93.26	R2	0.344
max deriv	5e-07				d.f.	3	g	1.476
					Pr(> chi2)	<0.0001	gr	4.374
							gp	0.291
							Brier	0.193
							C	0.755
							Dxy	0.510
							gamma	0.511
							tau-a	0.382

	Coef	S.E.	Wald Z	Pr(> Z)
y>=Moderate	6.3768	0.6732	9.47	<0.0001
y>=Slight	4.5886	0.6100	7.52	<0.0001
y>=None	3.2060	0.5731	5.59	<0.0001
AGE	-0.1197	0.0145	-8.23	<0.0001
SEX=Male	-0.6276	0.2586	-2.43	0.0152
DRUG=Painendil	0.7346	0.2449	3.00	0.0027

Deviance (-2 Log L)
661.1961 567.9398

Wald Statistics				Response: PAIN
Factor	Chi-Square	d.f.	P	
AGE	67.74	1	<.0001	
SEX	5.89	1	0.0152	
DRUG	9.00	1	0.0027	
TOTAL	77.20	3	<.0001	

Effects		Response : PAIN								
Factor		Low	High	Diff.	Effect	S.E.	Lower	0.95	Upper	0.95
AGE		40	41	1	-0.12	0.01	-0.15			-0.09
	Odds Ratio	40	41	1	0.89	NA	0.86			0.91
SEX - Male:Female		1	2	NA	-0.63	0.26	-1.13			-0.12
	Odds Ratio	1	2	NA	0.53	NA	0.32			0.89
DRUG - Painendil:Control		1	2	NA	0.73	0.24	0.25			1.21
	Odds Ratio	1	2	NA	2.08	NA	1.29			3.37

Model 5: Fit only age as a factor

```
pom.fit5 <- lrm(PAIN ~ AGEG, data=popain)
print(pom.fit5)
cat('Deviance (-2 Log L)', "\n", pom.fit5$deviance, "\n", "\n", "\n")
anova(pom.fit5)
```

Logistic Regression Model

```
lrm(formula = PAIN ~ AGEG, data = popain)
```

Frequencies of Responses

Severe	Moderate	Slight	None
51	73	57	59

		Model Likelihood Ratio Test		Discrimination Indexes		Rank Discrim. Indexes	
Obs	240	LR chi2	64.84	R2	0.253	C	0.705
max deriv	1e-07	d.f.	3	g	1.146	Dxy	0.411
		Pr(> chi2)	<0.0001	gr	3.145	gamma	0.552
				gp	0.242	tau-a	0.307
				Brier	0.208		

	Coef	S.E.	Wald Z	Pr(> Z)
y>=Moderate	-0.3641	0.3664	-0.99	0.3204
y>=Slight	-2.0614	0.3961	-5.20	<0.0001
y>=None	-3.3083	0.4181	-7.91	<0.0001
AGEG=20 - 29	3.3371	0.4831	6.91	<0.0001
AGEG=30 - 39	2.3389	0.4334	5.40	<0.0001
AGEG=40 - 49	1.3072	0.4285	3.05	0.0023

Deviance (-2 Log L)
661.1961 596.3591

	Wald Statistics			Response: PAIN
Factor	Chi-Square	d.f.	P	
AGEG	57.52	3	<.0001	
TOTAL	57.52	3	<.0001	